

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/771,759	Applicant(s) GOTTLIEB, NATHAN	
	Examiner FREDRICK C. CONLEY	Art Unit 3673	

All Participants:

(1) FREDRICK C. CONLEY.

(2) DARLENE P CONDRA.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner rearranged the last two clauses in the claim in order to avoid any lack of antecedent basis for the first and second end caps
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)